Motion Models That Only Work Sometimes C. Garcia Cifuentes, M. Sturzel, F. Jurie, G. J. Brostow

Concept

G

Tracking of 2D points can be improved by using an appropriate motion model in each situation. Can we predict such a suitable model for a query video given training videos in different scenes? Does the prediction improve tracking performance on average?



The motion model should account for **changes in speed and scale** in this scenario.

Method overview

Establish four rough categories of camera motion (traveling right / left, moving forward / backward). Design and implement four specialized motion models, plus two standard models for tracking (Brownian motion and constant velocity)

Collect a dataset of challenging videos. Assign them by inspection to one of the six categories, corresponding to the six motion models.

Extract local features, compute bag-of-words representations. Train an **SVM** using the labels obtained by inspection.







motion model e.g. traveling left

 $p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{I}_{1..t-1}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$ dynamic model

 $\Delta_{\theta}(p_i, \hat{p}_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x_i - \hat{x}_i| < \theta \text{ and } |y_i - \hat{y}_i| < \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Results

Tracking performance of each motion model over all videos in the dataset.

tracking robu \pm std. dev. r -% best choice

group of videos.

••**⊙**•••Br

Motion models differ in robustness to parameter settings.

deviations in the motion models (default: 1).

Brownian : $[x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}] \sim \operatorname{Norm}\left([x_t, y_t], \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_x^2, \sigma_y^2)\right),$ $[w_{t+1}, h_{t+1}] = s[w_t, h_t]; \quad s \sim \text{Norm}(1, \sigma_s^2).$

Constant Velocity, Traveling Right, Traveling Left : $[\dot{x}_{t+1}, \dot{y}_{t+1}] \sim \operatorname{Norm}\left([\dot{x}_t, \dot{y}_t], \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_x^2, \sigma_y^2)\right),$ $[x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}] = [x_t, y_t] + [\dot{x}_{t+1}, \dot{y}_{t+1}],$ $[w_{t+1}, h_{t+1}] = s_{t+1}[w_t, h_t]; \quad s_{t+1} \sim \operatorname{Norm}(s_t, \sigma_s^2).$

Best-case results if model is selected by either a performance-based oracle, or our inspection-based labels.

	Fixed motion model						Ideal predictions			Our	_
	Br	CVel	TRight	TLeft	Fwd	Bwd	$\mathbf{best}\{\mathbf{Br},\ \mathbf{CVel}\}$	$best{all}$	inspection labels	method	
stness $(\cdot 10^{-2})$	42.3	43.2	37.9	37.2	44.7	43.7	49.3	56.1	52.6	51.9	_
andom runs	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.1	
$e(\pm 2)$	21	11	12	20	20	16	32	100	52	50	

Bottom row: percentage of times a given strategy was the best choice among the six motion models.



Motion models

Forward, Backward :

 $[f_{t+1}^x, f_{t+1}^y] \sim \operatorname{Norm}([f_t^x, f_t^y], \sigma_f^2 \mathbf{I}),$ $d_{t+1} = d_t + \sigma_d \epsilon_1; \quad \epsilon_1 \sim \text{Norm}(0, 1.0),$ $s_{t+1} = s_t + \sigma_s \epsilon_2; \quad \epsilon_2 \sim \operatorname{Norm}(\epsilon_1, 0.1),$ $\alpha \sim \operatorname{Norm}(0, \sigma_{\alpha}^2),$ $[x_{t+1}, y_{t+1}]^T = d_{t+1} \mathbf{R}_{\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} x_t - f_{t+1}^x \\ y_t - f_{t+1}^y \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} f_{t+1}^x \\ f_{t+1}^y \\ f_{t+1}^y \end{bmatrix},$ $[w_{t+1}, h_{t+1}] = s_{t+1}[w_t, h_t].$

BMVC 2012

Tracking each video using the motion model predicted by our classifier, trained on inspection-based labels, evaluated leaving one video out.



http://visual.cs.ucl.ac.uk/pubs/MotionModelPrediction